

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,137	07/28/2003	Ben A. Hitt	CORR-004/01US 4524		
7590 10/07/2005 Cooley Godward LLP			EXAMINER CLOW, LORI A		
11951 Freedom		1631			
Reston, VA 20190-5656			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ··		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	2				
Office Action Summary		10/628,13	37	HITT ET AL.	3				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Lori A. Clo		1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2003.								
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.								
• —	')□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)		_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-27 are currently pending.

Priority

The benefit of priority to US Provisional Application 60/398,831 (29 July 2002) is hereby acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement filed 13 November 2003 has been considered. A signed copy of PTO Form 1449 is included with this Office Action.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 11 is grammatically incorrect. The claim should read, at line 3, "applying a second serum to a spot on a second biochip". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. The methods of claims 1-27 are not supported by a specific asserted utility or a well-established utility. The claimed methods are directed to applying sera from a control group and a test group to a biochip and performing mass spectrometry in order to determine if

Application/Control Number: 10/628,137 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

the spectrum maps to the model. However, there is no specific utility set forth such that this result is immediately useful. The specification states that the method is performed such that further analysis can then be done on the samples (page 3, paragraph [0012]). This does not constitute a specific utility, as set forth under 35 USC 101. Applicant is reminded that a "substantial utility" defines a "real world" use. Utilities that require or constitute carrying out further research to identify or reasonably confirm a "real world" context of use are not substantial utilities. For example, both a therapeutic method of treating a known or newly discovered disease and an assay method for identifying compounds that themselves have a "substantial utility" define a "real world" context of use. An assay that measures the presence of a material which has a stated correlation to a predisposition to the onset of a particular disease condition would also define a "real world" context of use in identifying potential candidates for preventive measures or further monitoring. In the instant case, determining whether a spectrum maps to a model does not constitute a specific utility.

Claims 1-27 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial or well-established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,137

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "determining whether a spectrum maps to the biochip model". It is unclear what is intended by "maps". Does this mean that the test spectrum in compared to the control spectrum or is the test spectrum physically mapped to the control spectrum. Clarification is requested.

Claim 2 recites "selecting a cluster". It is unclear from where the cluster is selected or what the cluster represents. Is the cluster selected from an area with the greatest number of spectra? Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-7, 13-16, 18-23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Adam (PTO 1449 reference 19; Cancer Research (2002) Vol. 62, pages 3609-3614).

Adam et al. teach a method in which a protein chip surface enhanced laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry approach is coupled to a learning algorithm to differentiate prostate cancer from noncancer samples (abstract). In regard to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 13, 14, 16, 18, 21, 23, Adam et al. teach a system in which SELDI-TOF detects proteins bound to a

Application/Control Number: 10/628,137

Art Unit: 1631

protein chip array. Serum samples are taken from patients diagnosed with PCA, BPH, and from healthy males (control). Mass spectra was generated from the various samples and a peak alignment (cluster) was performed. A classification of the groups was also performed to place the samples against a training set (see Material and Method; pages 3609-3611).

In regard to claims 3, 22, and 25 Adam et al. teach classifying a biological state at page 3610, column 2, data analysis section.

In regard to claim 6, Adam et al. teach identifying unique clusters (page 3610, column 2, Feature Selection section.

In regard to claim 7, Adam et al. teach various chip chemistries, such as cationic, ionic, and metal binding (page 3610, column 1, SELDI section).

In regard to claim 15, Adam et al. teach a disease model (page 3610, column 2, Statistical Analyses section.

In regard to claims 19 and 20 Adam et al. teach the same or different cluster association in the Decision Tree section (page 3610, column 2).

No claims are allowed.

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center Number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0718.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

September 29, 2005 Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. Art Unit 1631 For 'X

MARJORIE A. MORAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marjon a- Moran 9/29/05

ン